

Simplicity[®] Bearings from PBC Linear vs. DryLin[®] Bearing from Igus

An Analytic Comparison of Round Shaft
Plain Linear Bearings

Gregory Lyon, PE
LTB Engineering

Two round shaft plain bearing technologies are explored from a tribological and application standpoint. The first technology is exemplified in the PBC Linear Simplicity bearing, which consists of a self-lubricating liner bonded to the inside of an essentially cylindrical shell. The second technology is found in the IGUS DryLin bearing based on a self-lubricating injection molded liner affixed to the inside of a similar shell. The tribological and performance properties are considered, and then the ramifications of these properties in application are investigated. The results indicate that substantial differences in bearing life should be expected.

Introduction

There are a number of alternative technologies available in the application of linear bearings. Geometric configuration is a first level consideration, basically defining systems that can support a moment about the axis of motion, sometimes called profile rail, and those systems that are not so constrained, identified as round shaft. Round shaft bearings offer certain advantages, such as ease of application and use. Within the family of

round shaft bearings, there are further classifications, including rolling element and plain. There are a number of criteria driving the selection of plain over rolling element bearings, including vibration and shock, harsh environments, prohibitions on lubricants, such criteria might be the case in food or clean room applications, etc.

Two basic families of round shaft plain bearings are delineated by the liner, the part of the bearing that makes contact with, and slides against the shaft. The first type, where the liner is formed of a material loaded with Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and bonded to the shell, is exemplified in the Simplicity bearing by PBC Linear. A second type, where the liner is injection molded and mechanically fastened to the shell, is exemplified by the Iigus DryLin® Bearing.

History


Plain bearings are the most ubiquitous of bearings. Any occurrence of sliding may be considered a plain bearing. The historical use of plain bearings is documented back to ancient Egypt, and it is reasonable to suppose that the technology has been employed since the beginning of hominid sentience. Leonardo Da Vinci was one of the first scientists to study and analyze plain bearings. His findings and those of Coulomb form important components of our understanding of friction. The modern plain bearing is the result of literally thousands of years of evolution.

Theory

The base technologies differ in their processing and the molecular structures that present themselves to the shaft surface. Tribological performance is at least partially attributable to the molecular differences. Some of the important operating properties for each liner are cited in **table 1**. Further, there are some geometric differences that affect the contact between liner and shaft. The two prospective bearings are shown in **figure 1**.

Table 1: Tribological properties of liners

| Manufacturer | PBC Linear | Iigus |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Model/material | Simplicity/FrelonGOLD®1 | DryLin R/Iglidur J ² |
| Maximum pressure, psi | 3,000 | 5,075 |
| Maximum speed, ft/min, dry | 3003 | 3944 |
| Maximum PV, psi·ft/min | 20,000 | 9,700 |
| Coefficient of friction | 0.125 | 0.06 to 0.18 |



Definitions

Maximum Pressure

The maximum allowable compressive stress that can be applied to the material before permanent deformation

Maximum Speed

The limit on the relative rate of displacement between liner and shaft surfaces

PV Value

Pressure x Velocity, where Pressure is equal to the effective surface area times the applied load.

Maximum PV

The maximum dynamic performance rating of a Plain Bearing.

Coefficient of Friction

The ratio of applied to normal forces required to attain relative motion

Plain Bearing

A sliding bearing that does not contain moving parts or rolling elements, such as balls.

Plane Bearing

Common alternate spelling of "Plain" bearing most commonly found in North America. See "Plain Bearing" for definition.

Tribology


The science and engineering of interacting surfaces in relative motion, including the principles of friction, lubrication and wear.

◀ **Figure 1:** Geometries of selected bearings⁵

The Igus bearing features a number of axial cuts on the Inside Diameter (ID). These cuts present a somewhat reduced area of liner contacting the shaft surface while reducing a possible tangential constraint. The reduction in area results in higher contact pressures that undermine the Factor of Safety as related to the material Pressure Velocity limit. The Factor of Safety and Pressure Velocity limits will be discussed further.

Case Study

In application, the tribological and geometric properties have ramifications on expected performance. **Figure 2** graphically presents an application example. **Table 2** defines the parameters for this application example, which is based loosely on a food processing axis. External lubricants are prohibited.



◀ **Figure 2:** Application

| Axis orientation | Horizontal | Drive type | Crank |
|---|------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Number of shafts | 2 | Stroke length, in | 12 |
| Shaft diameter, inch | 0.75 | Period, s | 2.5 |
| Distance between shafts on center, inch | 6 | Duty cycle, % | 100 |
| Bearings per shaft | 2 | Shut down per year, weeks | 2 |
| Distance between bearing centers, inch | 6 | Temperature | ambient |
| Total load, lbf | 53 | Washdown | none |
| Moments, in•lbf | negligible | Other chemicals | none |

◀ **Table 2:** Application parameters

The failure criteria for this application are two-fold. First, the wear criterion of 0.0075 inches must not be exceeded. Wear in excess of this figure will cause unacceptable positioning error of the axis. Second, the PV limit cannot be exceeded. Exceeding the PV limit can cause overheating of the liner material, high wear and possible lock-up. A factor of safety can reasonably be applied to the PV limit to reduce the probability of catastrophic failure.

In most applications it is desirable to design for a bearing life of at least one year. This provides some flexibility for Preventive Maintenance (PM), so that bearing replacement can be scheduled for the yearly shut-down. Further it reduces the likelihood that production time will be lost due to shut down to replace worn or failed bearings. Accordingly the yearly travel for the conditions cited is:

In most applications
it is desirable to
design for a
bearing life of at
least one year.

In this example the total travel in one year is 3.00(10⁸) or 300 million inches. This then is the target travel against which the predicted lives will be evaluated.

$$T = (2S/P)t_{PM} \quad (1)$$

◀ Where: T = travel, S = stroke, P = period, t_{PM} = time to scheduled PM bearing replacement

Since this is a crank application the position of the system at any time about the center plain can be estimated as:

$$x = S \sin(2\pi t/P) \quad (2)$$

◀ Where: x = position of carriage about center plain, t = time

The maximum velocity can be found by differentiation:

$$v_{max} = 2\pi S/P \quad (3)$$

◀ Where: v_{max} = Maximum velocity


In this application the maximum velocity is 30.16 in/s. Differentiating again yields the maximum acceleration:

$$a_{max} = S(2\pi/P)^2 \quad (4)$$

◀ Where: a_{max} = maximum acceleration

In this application the maximum acceleration is 75.80 in/s².


As a starting point, a 3/4 inch diameter nominal shaft system was selected. From the PBC Linear general catalog, page 52, and from the Igu online expert system, DryLin Expert 2.0 by Igu (UK) Ltd., <http://www.igus.co.uk/DryLinexpert/default.aspx>, the expected wear in this application may be estimated. In the case of PBC Linear, the wear rate was interpolated and rounded up from the graph provided, and the travel at the wear limit calculated. The wear rate by this method was estimated as 2.5 (10⁻⁵) or 25 millionths inch of wear per million inches of travel. For the Igu product, the travel at the wear limit was obtained by iterating the running distance, i.e. travel to attain the wear limit. An exemplar page from the expert system is shown below.



◀ **Figure 3:** Exemplar page from Igu DryLin Expert System 2.0 by Igu (UK) Ltd (old website).

Results, Life and Cost

Graph 1, and **Table 3** present the estimated travel of the bearing systems to attain the wear limit. Additional sizes of the Igus product are displayed for comparison to the application requirements, since the initial size 12 did not provide sufficient life. Refer to figure 4 for a representation of the relative bearing sizes.




| Product designation | Nominal shaft diameter, in | Travel to wear limit, millions of inches | Travel target / Travel to wear limit | Bearing replacements |
|---------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| PBC Linear/FLN12 | 0.75 | 300 | 1.0 | 0 |
| Igus/OJUI-01-12 | 0.75 | 93.48 | 3.1 | 3 |
| Igus/OJUI-01-16 | 1.00 | 146.9 | 2.0 | 2 |
| Igus/OJUI-01-20 | 1.25 | 169.7 | 1.7 | 1 |
| Igus/OJUI-01-24 | 1.50 | 196.5 | 1.5 | 1 |

The third column defines the ratio between the target travel and the estimated travel to wear limit. When this ratio exceeds unity, the implication is a requirement to replace the bearings. The last column is an estimate of the number of times the bearings need replacement within the time between scheduled PM service, in this case one year, during which time the application is out of service.

Note that in the case of the Igus/OJUI-01-16, two bearing lives are just short of making the required travel, but the ratio of travel target to travel to wear limit is rounded to assume sufficient bearing life.

From a budgetary standpoint, both the number of bearing changes, as well as an



◀ **Graph 1:** Estimated travel lives to wear limit ^{6,7}

◀ **Table 3:** Estimated travel and comparison to application requirements ^{6,7}

◀ **Figure 4:** Relative sizes of bearings

increase in system size represent cost risers. An estimate of the cost of the initial installation is offered in **table 4**.

| Product designation | Cost, 4 bearings | Cost, 2 shaft-rails | Initial cost | Percentage of lowest cost |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Igus/OJUI-01-12 | \$61.56 | \$612.82 | \$674.38 | 100% |
| PBC Linear/FLN12 | \$74.36 | \$612.82 | \$687.18 | 102% |
| Igus/OJUI-01-16 | \$105.32 | \$730.24 | \$835.56 | 124% |
| Igus/OJUI-01-20 | \$173.72 | \$878.56 | \$1,052.28 | 156% |
| Igus/OJUI-01-24 | \$202.52 | \$989.74 | \$1,192.26 | 177% |

The lowest cost in **column 4** is printed in bold, in this case the Igus/OJUI-01-12. **Table 5** recites the required bearing replacements as presented in **table 3**. Again, the lowest cost is printed in bold in **column 3**, this time the PBC Linear/FLN12.

| Product designation | Bearing replacements | Total cost | Percentage of lowest cost |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| PBC Linear/FLN12 | 0 | \$687.18 | 100% |
| Igus/OJUI-01-12 | 3 | \$859.06 | 125% |
| Igus/OJUI-01-16 | 1 | \$940.88 | 137% |
| Igus/OJUI-01-20 | 1 | \$1,226.00 | 178% |
| Igus/OJUI-01-24 | 1 | \$1,394.78 | 203% |

The shaft rails are steel and will likely not need replacement. The lowest initial cost is achieved by the Igus/OJUI-01-12. The Pacific/FLN12 costs \$12.80, or 2% more. Within the time period to the first scheduled PM, however, the bearings will require replacement in order to avoid a wear failure. In the case that the equipment is built by an Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM), then the end user should expect to incur more than \$180 in replacement bearings; 3 replacements of 4 bearings at \$61.56 equals \$184.68. In the case that the OEM operates the equipment for its own purposes then the Igus/OJUI-01-12 results in an increase in cost of \$171.88, or 25% more than the PBC Linear/FLN12. The cost to scheduled PM is then the yearly cost, to be incurred every year that the system is in service. Further, the initial cost, as well as any replacement cost, rises with an increase in equipment size.

Additional replacement expenses will be realized through shipping, administrative and installation costs, and downtime incurred as a result of replacing bearings. These costs can vary wildly, so **Table 6** is a very rough attempt to make estimates. A row is left to the reader to add additional cost components not recited here, and a column is left for different values of cost components. The second column, indicating 0 replacements, includes the other costs for a one time installation.

◀ **Table 4:** Costs associated with initial bearing installation ^{8,9}

◀ **Table 5:** Costs to Preventive Maintenance (PM)


In the case that the OEM operates the equipment for its own purposes then the Igus/OJUI-01-12 results in an **increase in cost of \$171.88, or 25% more** than the PBC Linear/FLN12.

| Cost component | Number of Replacements | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | x |
| Maintenance | \$50.00 | \$100.00 | \$150.00 | \$200.00 | \$ - |
| Administration | \$25.00 | \$50.00 | \$75.00 | \$100.00 | \$ - |
| Shipping | \$15.00 | \$30.00 | \$45.00 | \$60.00 | \$ - |
| Lost production | \$150.00 | \$300.00 | \$450.00 | \$600.00 | \$ - |
| User specific 1 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| User specific 2 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Total "Other" Costs | \$240.00 | \$480.00 | \$720.00 | \$960.00 | \$ - |

◀ **Table 6:** Other costs associated with bearing replacements

Results, PV and cost

In evaluation of the second performance criterion, based on PV, the effective areas of each bearing need be defined to determine the pressure. The accepted method of determining pressure in this class of bearing is to divide the load by the projected area of the bearing in the plain of loading. In the case of a simple bore, such as the PBC Linear product, the projected area is the product of the inner diameter and the length. In the case of the Igus product, however, the area is the sum of the projected areas of the discrete pads in the plain of loading (refer to **figure 5**).



▲ **Figure 5:** Projected areas

Table 7, below, presents the effective bearing areas, the resultant operating pressures, PV's and comparison to PV limits. The Factor of Safety (FOS) is calculated by dividing the PV limit, **column 5**, by the application PV in **column 4**. The last column is analogous to a 'cost of reliability', where the cost of the bearing is divided by the Factor of Safety.

| Product designation | Area, in2 | Pressure, PSI | PV, PSI x ft/min | PV limit, PSI x ft/min | Factor of Safety | Bearing cost | \$/FOS point |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Igus/OJUI-01-12 | 0.787 | 16.84 | 2539 | 9,700 | 3.8 | \$15.39 | \$4.05 |
| Igus/OJUI-01-16 | 1.481 | 8.95 | 1349 | 9,700 | 7.2 | \$26.33 | \$3.66 |
| Igus/OJUI-01-20 | 2.147 | 6.17 | 931 | 9,700 | 10.4 | \$43.43 | \$4.18 |
| PBC Linear/FLN12 | 1.213 | 10.92 | 1647 | 20,000 | 12.1 | \$18.59 | \$1.53 |
| Igus/OJUI-01-24 | 2.952 | 4.49 | 677 | 9,700 | 14.3 | \$50.63 | \$3.54 |

▲ **Table 7:** PV values and limits

None of the bearing systems would be expected to fail by PV, but the Factor of Safety provides a relative assessment of the robustness of the application. The Igus product, because of the discontinuous bore has a relatively smaller area, resulting in higher operating pressures. A further detriment to the resultant FOS for the Igus product is the lower PV limit. The size 20 Igus bearing approaches the FOS afforded by the PBC Linear bearing. It has, however, an initial cost of over \$360 more than the PBC Linear product, and will require replacements within the PM cycle. The first Igus product to provide a better FOS to the PBC Linear is the size 24, with an attendant yearly cost increase of \$707.60, or 103%, refer to **table 5**.

Graph 2 depicts the ratios of the Factor of Safety (FOS) to the cost for the same products; essentially a performance to cost ratio. The PBC Linear/FLN12 demonstrates a significantly higher performance to cost ratio.

Conclusion

Two bearing technologies are explored from a tribological and application standpoint. The base technologies consist of differences in the bearing material; PBC Linear Simplicity offers a self-lubricating liner bonded to the inside of an essentially cylindrical shell, Igu DryLin offers a self-lubricating injection molded liner affixed to the inside of a similar shell. There are further differences in geometry, the ramifications of which are explored. The tribological and performance properties are contrasted, and then the ramifications of the properties in a typical application are investigated. The results indicate that substantial differences in bearing life should be expected.


The two criteria for comparison in application are total wear and operating PV as a function of PV limit. In both criteria, the PBC Linear product outperforms the Igu product. In order to match the performance of the PBC Linear product, the Igu product needs either multiple replacements or larger size product. Both approaches result in the incurrence of substantial cost increases. It is concluded that for this application, the PBC Linear Simplicity with FrelonGOLD® is the superior candidate.

The methodology employed in this paper is suitable for other applications. Care should be taken however to allow for other factors, should they exist, such as thermal excursions, chemical exposure, etc.

About the Author

Greg Lyon is the principal at LTB Engineering, an engineering research and development firm located in New York. He has extensive experience in the field of linear bearings including a twelve year tenure at Thomson Industries where he served as Director of Research & Development, with responsibility for new product & technology development. Thomson is a manufacturer of linear bearings and systems, including plain bearings. Mr. Lyon is the inventor on twelve patents related to linear bearings, and is published. He served as the US delegate to the ISO working group on linear bearings.

Factor of Safety/Cost Analysis



▲ **Graph 2:** PV FOS vs cost

In both criteria, the PBC Linear product outperforms the Igu product.

Trademarks & Disclaimer

This whitepaper is intended for publication in North America only. PBC Linear and LTB Engineering are not affiliated with Iigus Inc. or Iigus GmbH. LTB Engineering is a paid consultant working for PBC Linear. "IGUS", "DRYLIN", "IGLIDE", "IGLIDUR" are registered trademarks of igus, inc and/or igus GmbH. Other names, logos, designs, titles, words or phrases etc. may constitute registered trademarks, service-marks or other trade-names of entities other than PBC Linear, a Pacific Bearing Company or LTB Engineering, and may be registered in certain jurisdictions. Such trademarks are owned by their respective owners are used in this document for reference purposes only.

Footnotes:

1. Pacific Bearing Linear Motion Systems Catalog, p. 51
2. Iigus website
3. Pacific Bearing Linear Motion Systems Catalog, p. 51, running dry
4. Iigus website, estimated from graph, running dry on steel
5. CAD models downloaded from Pacific and Iigus websites
6. Simplicity wear calculated by linear interpolation from catalog data
7. Iigus wear calculated by iteration in DryLin Expert 2.0
8. Pacific costs from quote
9. Iigus costs from Iigus website
10. MSC Industrial catalog, 2010/2011p. 3801

Further Information

If you're still having difficulties, contact a PBC Linear Application Engineer to discuss your application. You can contact an engineer directly by calling 1.800.962.8979 (from within the USA) or +1.815.389.5600 (from outside the USA). If you prefer e-mail, e-mail an engineer at: appeng@pbclinear.com

Version

This is version 2 of the published white paper. It was originally published 01 December 2010.

Updates

Updated the format on August, 2019. Content was unchanged.

Permissions

This whitepaper has been reprinted, with permission, from LTB Engineering. It has been formatted to fit this template. All relevant content remains unedited and unchanged. Content: © 2010 LTB Engineering, all rights reserved.

© 2019 PBC Linear®, A Division of Pacific Bearing® Company



WORLDWIDE HEADQUARTERS

PBC Linear, A Pacific Bearing Co.

6402 E. Rockton Road, Roscoe, IL 61073 USA

Toll-Free: +1.800.962.8979 sales@pbclinear.com

Office: +1.815.389.5600 www.pbclinear.com

Fax: +1.815.389.5790

EUROPEAN HEADQUARTERS

PBC Linartechnik GmbH, A Pacific Bearing Co.

Niermannsweg 11-15, D-40699 Erkrath, Germany

Office: +49.211.416073.10 info@pbclinear.eu

Fax: +49.211.416073.11 www.pbclinear.eu

CHINA HEADQUARTERS

PBC-Moons

168 Mingjia Road, Minhang District, Shanghai 201107
P.R. China

Tel: +86 21 52634688 info@moons.com.cn

Fax: +86 21 52634098 www.moons.com.cn

PBC Linear has a global network of distributors with thousands of locations worldwide.

Visit pbclinear.com to find a distributor near you.